

## UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Addition.	OCIVINI SOLONER OF FATEURIS AND TRADEMARK
	Washington, D.C. 20231
	_

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/417,428	10/13/99	LYMAN		D	1923-48641
-	QM12/1006				EXAMINER
GEORGE W NEUNER DIKE BRONSTEIN ROBERTS AND CUSHMAN LLP				CEGIELNIK,U	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
130 WATER ST BOSTON MA 0:				3712	8
				DATE MAILED	: 10/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applican

09/417,428

Urszula M. Cegielnik

Lyman Group Art Unit

3712



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 24, 2000	
This action is FINAL.	for formal matters processition on to the morite is closed
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	sare pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-17	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	-948
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ballard. Ballard discloses a round clicker that has a center portion; a substantially planar peripheral portion surrounding the center portion; the center portion having a concave/convex shape, and manual manipulation of the device inverts the first and second surfaces between the two equilibrium positions.
- 3. Claim 1 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis. Davis discloses a jumping toy that has all the features of the instant claimed invention. The two equilibrium positions are stable for a few seconds.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-17 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis.

  Davis discloses all the features of the instant claimed invention except for the device having

dimensions of a particular range; the device being made of a particular polymeric material; the surfaces having an illustration or different textures; the polymeric material being a colored resin, and the scent being added to the material. It is an obvious design choice as to what type of dimensions the device has; what type of material the device is made from; the device has an illustration on its surface; a scent added to the material, and the texture of the surface. It would have ben obvious to modify the device of Davis to provide it in various sizes, textures, colors, and ascent as claimed for the purpose of making the device more amusing and interesting to play with.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 24 July 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 1, the applicant has submitted that the Davis reference does not teach a device having a substantially planar peripheral portion surrounding a center portion, or that it has two stable equilibrium portions. The examiner in turn submits that the Davis reference does teach the device as having a substantially planar peripheral portion as shown in Figure 1 of the drawings. Also, the reference states that the equilibrium is affected by the quality of the rubber forming the wall of the toy. It mentions that if the rubber is too stiff or too soft, that it would affect the functionality of the toy. In essence if the wall was to be formed of sufficiently thin rubber, then it would easily assume a convex or concave shape when force is applied. Furthermore, the reference implies that an equilibrium must be present in order for the toy to work properly. Regarding the manual manipulation of the device as stated in the claim, this could be construed as placing the device of Davis on a table. Davis also teaches a device that is disk-shaped and has a diameter of approximately 2 inches as described in claim 2.

۲

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Urszula Cegielnik whose telephone number is (703) 306-5806.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacob Ackun, can be reached on (703) 308-3867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner

> Jacob K. Ackun Primary Examiner